

Steering the Course


Navigating bribery and corruption risk in M&A

A global study by Hogan Lovells










Let the compliance team lead the way

One overriding message is that management doesn't bring in the compliance team in good time:

72% of respondents say their compliance team should be involved earlier in M&A discussions.



This is a missed opportunity; ideally, compliance should be first in and last out. Tax and antitrust due diligence have their place, of course, but anti-bribery and corruption really is an equal priority.

When involved early, the team can help shape management's conversations with the target company. It can also assess potential bribery risks, to work out the type of due diligence needed – simple or something more sophisticated. This enables you to devise a risk-based, or proportionate, due diligence process customized to your business, industry, and the bribery risk of the company in your sights.


Investing in or buying a company linked to bribery isn't always a deal-breaker since it's often better all round if as the buyer you then clean up the business. The bigger problem is not knowing the bribery risk until late in the day.

If your due diligence finds a problem early on, it puts you in a good position to negotiate on price before you buy. You can use it to get the company to sort out the problem. You can walk away empty handed, with your reputation intact. Or there's the possibility to agree with the authorities a grace period after the acquisition when you disclose and mitigate any bribery. Either way, as there may be unseen trip wires such as money laundering issues, you need advice before you raise any red flags.

You also have the option to ask the DOJ for an opinion release, a non-binding guide to whether it would treat certain conduct as likely to raise U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) liability. If cleared, you can presume that, based on enforcement policy at the time, the DOJ doesn't consider the conduct you described a liability. But opinion releases are double-edged. Asking for an opinion attracts the attention of the Fraud Section of the Criminal Division, which could later investigate FCPA violations.

On the other hand, if you don't do the due diligence or don't do it thoroughly enough, then you risk not spotting a compliance problem until it's too late.

More than half (54%) of respondents say their pre- and post-M&A due diligence isn't thorough enough.






Even in a friendly deal, a target company may not wish to share sensitive information with you. As a public company, it may be restricted in what it can provide. In a hostile bid, speed may preclude full due diligence. Or in an auction, tensions could limit the information you're given, your access to employees, or both. This doesn't mean you can do away with due diligence, but that it's all the more important to do it afterwards.


There are, it seems, many who remain to be convinced.

Only 58% of respondents from the UK do anti-bribery and corruption due diligence before high-risk M&A.




In other words, astonishingly, just under half do not do any due diligence in this area. In contrast, China, Germany, and the U.S. lead the way at 85%.

Meanwhile 64% of respondents said M&A gives rise to some of the biggest anti-bribery and corruption risks (76% in the U.S. compared with 56% in France).



One of the big concerns for M&A is successor liability. In M&A you may inherit your target company's liability for any earlier corrupt acts, even if you knew nothing about them. And you remain liable if you fail to tackle bribery risks that come to light after a deal closes, as well as for buying or investing in a company you knew to be corrupt.





Prosecutors making the case for due diligence

"With more and more local prosecutors picking up the baton in their part of the global marketplace, there are few countries left where companies can hope to remain unchallenged."

Crispin Rapinet, Global Head of Investigations, White Collar, and Fraud Both the FCPA and the UK Bribery Act potentially have jurisdiction over companies operating overseas. The scope of the FCPA, in particular, and the grounds under which the U.S. authorities can extend their reach leave nowhere to hide where you can do business risk free from a U.S. prosecutor. Due diligence is a first step toward mitigating corruption and steering clear of liability.

Proper risk-based due diligence will make you a less attractive target in the eyes of a prosecutor – there is plenty of low hanging fruit for them to pick. The best approach is that you can show you acted responsibly by undertaking risk-based due diligence as far as possible ahead of the deal and then a deeper dive after acquisition. This supports the fact that you have a proper or "adequate" anti-bribery and corruption compliance program in place, which at the end of the day may be your only defense.



Signs of ethical leadership

Due diligence helps you understand the target company's culture, assess its value – whether it results from bribery – and identify risks and related problems. Take contracts "won" through bribes, for example. They're likely unenforceable in law, and they may depend on continued bribes. Also, earlier corrupt acts, if not redressed, may affect your reputation, future business, or both.

"Compliance issues are people issues. They can't be addressed by price or contract language alone. And they can move a transaction not just from a green light to a yellow light – but to a red, and in an instant."

> Bill Curtin, Global Head of Mergers and Acquisitions

It's a common-sense approach that should start with the tone from the top (see our first report, *Steering the Course: Navigating bribery and corruption risk*, at www.hoganlovellsabc.com). Do the CEO and board at the company you want to buy consider anti-bribery and corruption a priority? Or are profits and returns higher on their agenda? After all, if the leadership turns a blind eye to compliance issues, there's little reason for the company not to follow.

And beyond the leadership team, you should speak to people at all levels in the target company, to gauge how far the compliance message filters down. Proof of good practice shows the quality of management.


57% of respondents have gone ahead with a merger or acquisition despite high bribery and corruption risk, with the U.S. and Germany reporting 71% and 78% respectively.



This suggests that companies continue to underestimate what they're exposing themselves to. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, for example, missed improper payments its newly acquired subsidiaries made. It did neither adequate due diligence before close nor adequate compliance training after, according to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. In 2015, Goodyear paid US\$16 million to settle.

Our study also revealed:

59% say that sometimes their anti-bribery and corruption due diligence doesn't take place until after a merger or acquisition (69% in China vs 33% in Singapore).



At which point there's no window to negotiate on price.

Post-acquisition due diligence

Because pre-close disclosure can often be incomplete, only after signing will you really know what you've bought. You can limit your liability even at this stage through post-close due diligence, or an audit. You must consider reporting any suspected bribery you discover to the authorities. Speed, openness, and self-disclosure make all the difference to how they react.

"The first 100 days after close are crunch time. It's when you really get to see what you've bought – warts and all. For a start, you should review the accuracy of financial records and internal controls."

Isabel Carvalho, Partner, São Paulo

10 days

As a guide, within the first 10 days, you need to examine any issues you identified but left unresolved during earlier due diligence. You need to review the company's risk assessment of its own bribery risk and the measures it has in place to counter this. You may need to upgrade these if they fall short.



Before 100 days in, you need to review all third parties or at least the high-risk ones, because you have little control over them (see our previous report, *Steering the Course: Navigating third party bribery and corruption risk*, at www.hoganlovellsabc.com). You need to reassess the tone from the top, and particularly the company's training program for anti-bribery and corruption. You should assess whistleblowing procedures and historic whistleblowing reports. Because if there are no reports, it suggests the procedure isn't working.



And within one year, you need to decide whether to merge your two compliance programs or adopt one over the other. Ideally, you need to bring the new company into line with your code of conduct and anti-bribery program, including training and monitoring. Although this can take up to two years, it ranks alongside commercial integration in terms of priority.



Research methodology

The study is based on independent opinion research designed and commissioned by Hogan Lovells in January 2016.

The research was conducted amongst 604 chief compliance officers, heads of legal or equivalent at 604 of the world's largest multinational companies.

Respondents were all from companies with a minimum of 2,000 employees and at least US\$350 million turnover, and had been with the company in that role for more than a year.

101 respondents were from the UK, 102 from Germany, 100 from France, 151 from the U.S. and 150 from Asia. Within Asia, 57 were from China, 52 from Singapore and 41 from Japan.

Focusing on high-risk sectors at the heart of global anti-bribery and corruption regulation and investigations, 124 respondents were in life sciences, 138 in energy, minerals and resources, 152 in transport, and 190 in technology, media and telecoms. The research was conducted by Coleman Parkes.



Our team

The Hogan Lovells Global Bribery and Corruption Task Force offers international clients informed advice in a number of areas of risk, from reactive incident response measures to the development of proactive strategies for managing potential exposure through compliance programs.

Our task force brings together a cross-jurisdictional team of partners from Hogan Lovells' international network with more than 25 years of experience in large-scale investigations. The task force has real experience on the ground in the U.S. and Europe (including the UK,

Germany, Spain, Italy, and France), as well as in Russia, Asia (including China, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Jakarta), Latin America, and Africa. Hogan Lovells is a recognized leader in investigations and fraud work, being ranked in the top tier of leading legal directories.


"Hogan Lovells is among an exclusive group of firms that field top-level investigations specialists right across the globe. This is reflected in the quantity, quality and breadth of matters it handles. The firm has a truly impressive number of senior investigations lawyers within its ranks."

Global Investigations Review (GIR) 30, 2016



Contact us

To discuss any of the issues raised in this report, please contact one of the team:



Africa

Tony Canny

Partner, Johannesburg T+27 11 775 6363 tony.canny@hoganlovells.com

Sj Thema

Partner, Johannesburg T+27 11 775 6386 sj.thema@hoganlovells.com

Asia

Jun Wei

Partner, Beijing T+86 10 6582 9488 jun.wei@hoganlovells.com

Jeff Olson

Partner, Ho Chi Minh City T +84 8 3825 6370 jeff.olson@hoganlovells.com

Chris Dobby

Partner, Hong Kong T+852 2840 5629 chris.dobby@hoganlovells.com

Mark Lin

Partner, Hong Kong T+852 2840 5091 mark.lin@hoganlovells.com

Chalid Heyder

Partner, Jakarta T +62 21 27887911 chalid.heyder@dnfp.com

Eugene Chen

Partner, Shanghai T+86 21 6122 3858 eugene.chen@hoganlovells.com

Maurice Burke

Partner, Singapore T+65 63022 558 maurice.burke@hoganlovells.com

Rika Beppu

Partner, Tokyo T +81 3 5157 8251 rika.beppu@hoganlovells.com

Europe

László Partos

Partner, Budapest T +36 1 505 4480 laszlo.partos@hoganlovells.co.hu

Juergen Johannes Witte

Partner, Dusseldorf T +49 211 1368 520 juergen.witte@hoganlovells.com

Tim Wybitul

Partner, Frankfurt T +49 69 96236 321 tim.wybitul@hoganlovells.com

Tanja Eisenblätter

Partner, Hamburg T +49 40 41993 284 tanja.eisenblaetter@hoganlovells.com

Claire Lipworth

Partner, London T +44 20 7296 2982 claire.lipworth@hoganlovells.com

Crispin Rapinet

Partner, London T +44 20 7296 5167

crispin.rapinet@hoganlovells.com

Michael Roberts

Partner, London T +44 20 7296 5387

michael.roberts@hoganlovells.com

José Luis Huerta

Partner, Madrid T +34 91 349 82 66

joseluis.huerta@hoganlovells.com

Francesca Rolla

Partner, Milan

T+39 02 720252 49

francesca.rolla@hoganlovells.com

Alexei Dudko

Partner, Moscow

T+74959333015249

alexei.dudko@hoganlovells.com

Sebastian Lach

Partner, Munich

T+49 89 29012 132

sebastian.lach@hoganlovells.com

Antonin Lévy

Partner, Paris

T +33 1 5367 4770

antonin.levy@hoganlovells.com

Latin America

Luis Enrique Graham

Partner, Mexico City

T +52 55 5091 0137

luis.graham@hoganlovells.com

Carlos Ramos Miranda

Partner, Mexico City

T +52 55 5091 0172

carlos.ramos@hoganlovells.com

Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo

Partner, Mexico City

T+52 55 5091 0157

juanf.torreslanda@hogan lovells.com

Isabel Costa Carvalho

Partner, São Paulo

T +55 11 3074 3501

isabel.carvalho@hoganlovells.com

United States

Paul Cirel

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1025

paul.cirel@hoganlovells.com

Daniel J. Cloherty

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1003

daniel.cloherty@hoganlovells.com

Michael A. Collora

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1002

michael.collora@hoganlovells.com

Maria R. Durant

Partner, Boston

T+16173711024

maria.durant@hoganlovells.com

William H. Kettlewell

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1005

bill.kettlewell@hoganlovells.com

Ingrid Martin

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1022

ingrid.martin@hoganlovells.com

Gregory Noonan

Partner, Boston

T+16173711029

gregory.noonan@hoganlovells.com

Sara Silva

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1461

sara.silva@hoganlovells.com

Victoria Steinberg

Partner, Boston

T+16173711023

victoria.steinberg@hoganlovells.com

Kathy Weinman

Partner, Boston

T+16173711004

kathy.weinman@hoganlovells.com

William Lovett

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1007

william.lovett@hoganlovells.com

Anthony Fuller

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1032

anthony.fuller@hogan lovells.com

Eve Slattery

Partner, Boston

T +1 617 371 1019

eve.slattery@hoganlovells.com

Regina M. Rodriguez

Partner, Denver

T +1 303 899 7338

regina.rodriguez@hoganlovells.com

Michael C. Theis

Partner, Denver

T +1 303 899 7327

michael.theis@hoganlovells.com

Stephanie Yonekura

Partner, Los Angeles

T +1 310 785 4668

stephanie.yonekura@hoganlovells.com

Peter H. Walsh

Partner, Minneapolis

T +1 612 402 3017

peter.walsh@hoganlovells.com

Oliver J. Armas

Partner, New York

T +1 212 918 3020

oliver.armas@hoganlovells.com

Robert B. Buehler

Partner, New York

T+12129183261

robert.buehler@hoganlovells.com

Ira M. Feinberg

Partner, New York

T+12129183509

ira.feinberg@hoganlovells.com

Jim McGovern

Partner, New York

T +1 212 918 3220

james.mcgovern@hoganlovells.com

Virginia A. Gibson

Partner, Philadelphia

T +1 267 675 4635

virginia.gibson@hoganlovells.com

Megan Dixon

Partner, San Francisco T +1 415 374 2305 megan.dixon@hoganlovells.com

Michael J. Shepard

Partner, San Francisco T +1 415 374 2310 michael.shepard@hoganlovells.com

Robert S. Bennett

Partner, Washington, D.C. T+1 202 637 6464 robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com

Jonathan L. Diesenhaus

Partner, Washington, D.C. T+1 202 637 5416 jonathan.diesenhaus@hoganlovells.com

Douglas A. Fellman

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5714 douglas.fellman@hoganlovells.com

Gejaa T Gobena

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5513 gejaa.gobena@hoganlovells.com

Lillian Hardy

Partner, Washington, D.C. T+12026375884 lillian.hardy@hoganlovells.com

Katie M Hellings

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5483 kathryn.hellings@hoganlovells.com

Stephen J. Immelt

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 3660 stephen.immelt@hoganlovells.com

Michael P. Kelly

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5533 michael.kelly@hoganlovells.com

Mitchell J. Lazris

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5863 mitch.lazris@hoganlovells.com

Douglas B. Paul

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 3662 douglas.paul@hoganlovells.com

J. Evans Rice, III

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 6987 evans.rice@hoganlovells.com

Michele W. Sartori

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 6443 michele.sartori@hoganlovells.com

Peter S. Spivack

Partner, Washington, D.C. T +1 202 637 5631 peter.spivack@hoganlovells.com

About Hogan Lovells

Whether change brings opportunity, risk, or disruption, be ready by working with leading global law firm Hogan Lovells.

Straight talking. Understanding and solving the problem before it becomes one. Delivering clear and practical advice that gets your job done. Hogan Lovells offers extensive experience and insights gained from working in some of the world's most complex legal environments and markets for corporations, financial institutions, and governments. We help you identify and mitigate risk and make the most of opportunities. Our 2,500 lawyers on six continents provide practical legal solutions wherever your work takes you.

A fast-changing and inter-connected world requires fresh thinking combined with proven experience. That's what we provide. Progress starts with ideas. And while imagination helps at every level, our legal solutions are aligned with your business strategy. Our experience in cross-border and emerging economies gives us the market perspective to be your global partner. We believe that when knowledge travels, opportunities arise.

Our team has a wide range of backgrounds. Diversity of backgrounds and experience delivers a broader perspective. Perspectives which ultimately make for more rounded thinking and better answers for you.

For more information about Hogan Lovells see www.hoganlovells.com.



Alicante

Amsterdam

Baltimore

Beijing

Birmingham

Boston

Brussels

Budapest

Caracas

Colorado Springs

Denver

Dubai

Dusseldorf

Frankfurt

Hamburg

Hanoi

Ho Chi Minh City

Hong Kong

Houston

Jakarta

Johannesburg

London

Los Angeles

Louisville

Luxembourg

Madrid

Mexico City

Miami

Milan

Minneapolis

Monterrey

Moscow

Munich

New York

Northern Virginia

Paris

Perth

Philadelphia

Rio de Janeiro

Rome

San Francisco

São Paulo

Shanghai

Shanghai FTZ

Silicon Valley

Singapore

Sydney

Tokyo

Ulaanbaatar

Warsaw

Washington, D.C.

Zagreb

Our offices

Associated offices

www.hoganlovells.com

"Hogan Lovells" or the "firm" is an international legal practice that includes Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP and their affiliated businesses.

The word "partner" is used to describe a partner or member of Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP or any of their affiliated entities or any employee or consultant with equivalent standing. Certain individuals, who are designated as partners, but who are not members of Hogan Lovells International LLP, do not hold qualifications equivalent to members.

For more information about Hogan Lovells, the partners and their qualifications, see www. hoganlovells.com.

Where case studies are included, results achieved do not guarantee similar outcomes for other clients. Attorney advertising, Images of people may feature current or former lawyers and employees at Hogan Lovells or models not connected with the firm.

© Hogan Lovells 2017. All rights reserved. 11799_D3_0917